

Arthur Oregon

Sept. 12, 1884

My dear Mr Watson

Your kind letter  
of Aug. 20 received.

It is my wish that  
you would publish the  
Calandrinias with the  
other species of My brother's  
collection. My judgment  
is different from yours  
as to some of them.  
As to the one that  
I took to be a new  
genus I made the notes from  
living specimens and am  
certain that the filaments  
are united at <sup>in the living state</sup> base, ~~for~~  
they appeared to me  
as fronds so as are the

Howell

filaments of a *Spathyrus*.  
They may come a part  
in drying & have not  
examined them in the  
dry state. I think ~~Calce~~  
*Calendrinia* should be  
extended so as to take  
in plants with filaments  
connected in a tube, but  
you know best about  
such things, and we will  
be perfectly satisfied with  
what you do about it.

I think the names you  
suggest are very good ones.  
The plants I called *C. fimbriata*  
and *C. calva* may both be of  
the same species but they appear  
to me to be very different.  
However, as the latter the  
material is too scanty to

say much about it I  
do not think that a  
single plant is sufficient  
to describe a species from.  
I hope it will be found  
again and in sufficient  
quantity to show where  
it belongs. As I said before  
I wish you to publish them  
with the other plants of the  
collection.

Truly yours  
Joseph Howell.